SIMMONS HANLY CONROY, LLC

Jason 'Jay' Barnes (admitted pro hac vice) An Truong (admitted *pro hac vice*) Eric Johnson (admitted pro hac vice) 112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 784-6400 Facsimile: (212) 213-5949 jaybarnes@simmonsfirm.com atruong@simmonsfirm.com ejohnson@simmonsfirm.com

KIESEL LAW LLP

Jeffrey A. Koncius, State Bar No. 189803 Nicole Ramirez, State Bar No. 279017 8648 Wilshire Boulevard Beverly Hills, CA 90211-2910 Telephone: (310) 854-4444 Facsimile: (310) 854-0812 koncius@kiesel.law

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

Hal D. Cunningham (Bar No. 243048) 600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 233-4565 Facsimile: (619) 233-0508 hcunningham@scott-scott.com

LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.

Christian Levis (admitted *pro hac vice*) Amanda Fiorilla (admitted pro hac vice) 44 South Broadway, Suite 1100 White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 997-0500 Facsimile: (914) 997-0035 clevis@lowey.com afiorilla@lowey.com

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

Michael W. Sobol, State Bar. No. 194857 Melissa Gardner, State Bar No. 289096 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 msobol@lchb.com mgardner@lchb.com

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

Douglas Cuthbertson (admitted *pro hac vice*) 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013 Telephone: 212 355-9500 Facsimile: 212-355-9592 dcuthbertson@lchb.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class *Additional counsel listed on signature page

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JOHN DOE, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION

Case No.: 3:23-cv-02431-VC

PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF FIRST AMENDED CONSOLIDATED **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Consolidated with: 3:23-cv-02343-VC

This document applies to: All Actions

Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a privacy case concerning Defendant Google LLC's ("Google") unlawful tracking, collection, and monetization of Americans' private health information from Health Care Provider web properties in the United States. Plaintiffs' First Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint ("FAC") reveals private personally identifiable and protected health information about each of the Plaintiff's, including their treating doctors, conditions, symptoms, and treatment options.

Plaintiffs hereby apply for leave of Court pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 and the Court's Standing Order for Civil Cases to file under seal portions of the FAC, an unredacted version of which is attached to this Motion. Plaintiffs have reviewed and complied with this Court's Standing Order and Civil Local Rule 79-5. Google has advised Plaintiffs that it takes no position on whether the materials at issue in this Motion satisfy the requirements of a motion to seal.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

"[T]he courts of this country recognize a general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 n.7 (1978); *see also Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003). The right, however, "is not absolute and can be overridden given sufficiently compelling reasons for doing so." *Foltz*, 331 F.3d at 1135. *See also Kamakana v. City and Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (good cause showing suffices to overcome the presumption under certain circumstances). Because the information sought to be sealed would reveal Plaintiffs' personally identifiable and protected health information, compelling reasons exist to seal those portions of the FAC.

Courts permit sealing of "personal identifiers and confidential medical and health information." *Ennis v. Life Ins. Co.*, No. 3:18-cv-01617-WHO, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 163442,

at *10 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2018) (finding information was protected by HIPAA and the California Constitution); see also Turner v. United States, No. 17-cv-02265-WHO, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 229612, at *13 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2018). Here, Plaintiffs seek to seal only information that details the Named Plaintiffs' conditions and symptoms for which they sought treatments and the names of their doctors and health care providers—in other words, information that constitutes personally identifiable and protected health information and is not already "readily available" to the public. See Chadam v. Palo Alto Unified Sch. Dist., No. C 13-4129 CW, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11236, at *17 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2014). Courts have found good cause to seal this type of information. See, e.g., Vangieson v. Austin, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 166040, at **3-4 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2021) (sealing narrative description revealing information about diagnoses, symptoms, and treatment); Campbell v. Facebook Inc., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 140733, at **19-20 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2015) (sealing specific URLs intercepted by Meta). Moreover, Plaintiffs have narrowly tailored their sealing to try to maximize Named Plaintiffs' privacy without sacrificing any details that would inform the public about the nature of this lawsuit or the facts underlying Plaintiffs' claims. Only select words are redacted, and only in the descriptions of Named Plaintiffs' communications regarding their personally identifiable and protected health information, including their treating doctors, conditions, symptoms, and treatment.

Courts across the country and within the Ninth Circuit have recognized that the need to protect medical privacy qualifies as a "compelling reason" for sealing court records. *See, e.g., Steven City Broomfield v. Aranas*, No. 17-00683, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87484, at *2 (D. Nev. May 19, 2020); *Bruce v. Azar*, 389 F. Supp. 3d 716, 727 (N.D. Cal. 2019); *San Ramon Reg'l Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Principal Life Ins. Co.*, No. 10-02258, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4100, at *2 n.1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2011); *Abbey v. Hawaii Emp'rs Mut. Ins. Co.*, No. 09-00545, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120881, at *1-2 (D. Haw. Nov. 15, 2010); *G. v. Hawaii*, No. 08-551, 2010 U.S. Dist.

LEXIS, at *1-2 (D. Haw. June 25, 2010); Wilkins v. Ahern, No. 08-1084, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 110927 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2010); Lombardi v. TriWest Healthcare All. Corp., No. 08-2381, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 41693, at * 1 (D. Ariz. May 4, 2009).

If the Court finds that compelling reasons exist, it must still "balance[] the competing interests of the public and the party who seeks to keep certain judicial records secret." *Ctr. for Auto Safety* v. Chrysler Group, LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (citing *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). Factors relevant to that balancing test include the public interest "in understanding the judicial process" *Pintos*, 605 F.3d at 679 n.6, whether disclosure of the material could result in improper use of it (*id.*), and the volume of material sought to be sealed, *Algarin v. Maybelline*, *LLC*, No. 12-cv-3000-AJB, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23882, at *4 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2014).

Here, disclosure of the information at issue would not serve the public interest or aid the public in understanding the merits of the case. The meaning and import of the FAC in no way depends on enabling the reader to know the Plaintiff's personally identifiable and protected health information. Instead, to the extent such information is of interest to members of the public at all, personally identifiable and protected health information could be obtained by bad actors, and expose Plaintiffs' private personally identifiable and protected health information with no meaningful gain in the public's ability to understand these proceedings. The substantial consequences of such exposure would amplify the harm Plaintiffs seek to redress and prejudice the very privacy rights they seek to enforce in this action.

///

///

///

///

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs seek to seal the following portions of the FAC:

ECF No.	Document	Portion(s) to Seal	Reason(s) for Sealing
86	FAC	Highlighted portions of	Reveals personally
		paragraphs 20-27, 29-31	identifiable and
			protected health
			information about each
			of the Plaintiff's,
			including their treating
			doctors, conditions,
			symptoms, and
			treatment options.

III. CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to seal the information identified above.

Dated: November 16, 2023

SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC

/s/ Jay Barnes

Jason 'Jay' Barnes (admitted pro hac vice) jaybarnes@simmonsfirm.com
Eric Johnson (admitted pro hac vice) ejohnson@simmonsfirm.com
An Truong (admitted pro hac vice) atruong@simmonsfirm.com
112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10016
Tel: 212 784 6400

Tel.: 212-784-6400 Fax: 212-213-5949

LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.

Christian Levis (admitted *pro hac vice*) *clevis@lowey.com*Amanda Fiorilla (admitted *pro hac vice*) *afiorilla@lowey.com*44 South Broadway, Suite 1100
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel.: (914) 997-0500

Fax: (914) 997-0035

KIESEL LAW LLP

Jeffrey A. Koncius, State Bar No. 189803 koncius@kiesel.law
Nicole Ramirez, State Bar No. 279017 ramirez@kiesel.law

Mahnam Ghorbani, State Bar No. 345360 ghorbani@kiesel.law 8648 Wilshire Boulevard Beverly Hills, CA 90211-2910

Tel.: 310-854-4444 Fax: 310-854-0812

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

Michael W. Sobol, State Bar No. 194857 msobol@lchb.com

Melissa Gardner, State Bar No. 289096 mgardner@lchb.com

Jallé H. Dafa, State Bar No. 290637 jdafa@lchb.com

275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339

Tel.: 415 956-1000 Fax: 415-956-1008

Douglas Cuthbertson (admitted pro hac vice) dcuthbertson@lchb.com 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013

Tel.: 212 355-9500 Fax: 212-355-9592

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

Hal D. Cunningham, State Bar No. 243048 hcunningham@scott-scott.com
Sean Russell, State Bar No. 308962 srussell@scott-scott.com
600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300
San Diego, CA 92101
Tel.: (619) 233-4565

Fax: (619) 233-0508

Joseph P. Guglielmo (admitted pro-

Joseph P. Guglielmo (admitted pro hac vice) jguglielmo@scott-scott.com
Ethan Binder (admitted pro hac vice) ebinder@scott-scott.com
230 Park Ave., 17th Floor
New York, NY 10169
Tel: (212) 223-6444

Tel.: (212) 223-6444 Fax: (212) 223-6334

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I hereby attest that all signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

Dated: November 16, 2023 /s/ Jeffrey A. Koncius

Jeffrey A. Koncius